deuce is to do now?" Clearly the master's mastery is not universal. Jane offers help, and Rochester, leaning on her shoulder, admits that "necessity compels me to make you useful." Later, remembering the scene, he confesses that he too had seen the meeting as a mythic one, though from a perspective entirely other than Jane's. "When you came on me in Hay Lane last night, I... had half a mind to demand whether you had bewitched my horse" (chap. 13). Significantly, his playful remark acknowledges her powers just as much as (if not more than) her vision of the Gytrash acknowledged his. Thus, though in one sense Jane and Rochester begin their relationship as master and servant, prince and Cinderella, Mr. B. and Pamela, in another they begin as spiritual equals.

As the episode unfolds, their equality is emphasized in other scenes as well. For instance, though Rochester imperiously orders Jane to "resume your seat, and answer my questions" while he looks at her drawings, his response to the pictures reveals not only his own Byronic broodings, but his consciousness of hers. "Those eyes in the Evening Star you must have seen in a dream... And who taught you to paint wind?... Where did you see Latmos?" (chap. 13). Though such talk would bewilder most of Rochester's other dependents, it is a breath of life to Jane, who begins to fall in love with him not because he is her master but in spite of the fact that he is, not because he is princely in manner, but because, being in some sense her equal, he is the only qualified critic of her art and soul.

Their subsequent encounters develop their equality in even more complex ways. Rudely urged to entertain Rochester, Jane smiles "not a very complacent or submissive smile," obliging her employer to explain that "the fact is, once for all, I don't wish to treat you like an inferior... I claim only such superiority as must result from twenty years difference in age and a century's advance in experience" (chap. 14). Moreover, his long account of his adventure with Céline—an account which, incidentally, struck many Victorian readers as totally improper, coming from a dissipated older man to a virginal young governess¹⁹—emphasizes, at least superficially, not his superiority to Jane but his sense of equality with her. Both Jane and Charlotte Brontë correctly recognize this point, which subverts those Victorian charges: "The ease of his manner," Jane comments, "freed me from painful restraint; the friendly frankness... with

which he treated me, drew me to him. I felt at [these] times as if he were my relation rather than my master" (chap. 15 [ital. ours]). For of course, despite critical suspicions that Rochester is seducing Jane in these scenes, he is, on the contrary, solacing himself with her unseduceable independence in a world of self-marketing Célines and Blanches.

daily disguise as Rochester the master of Thornfield see beyond his temporary disguise as a gypsy fortune-teller-or his beyond her everyday disguise as plain Jane the governess, she can as much as she herself does, and understands that just as he can see respects "the resolute, wild, free thing looking out of" Jane's eyes "You did not act the character of a gypsy with me" (chap. 19). "With the ladies you must have managed well," she comments, but all in the scene in which only Jane of all the "young ladies" at Jane's and Rochester's mutual sense of equality is made clearest of occasion when she helps him rescue Richard Mason from the wounds The implication is that he did not-or could not-because he Thornfield fails to be deceived by Rochester in his gypsy costume: inflicted by "Grace Poole." And that these rescues are facilitated by burning bed (an almost fatally symbolic plight), and later on the -on, for instance, the occasion when she rescues him from his His need for her strength and parity is made clearer soon enough

This last point is made again, most explicitly, by the passionate avowals of their first betrothal scene. Beginning with similar attempts at disguise and deception on Rochester's part ("One can't have too much of such a very excellent thing as my beautiful Blanche") that encounter causes Jane in a moment of despair and ire to strip away her own disguises in her most famous assertion of her own integrity:

"Do you think, because I am poor, obscure, plain, and little, I am soulless and heartless? You think wrong!—I have as much soul as you,—and full as much heart! And if God had gifted me with some beauty, and much wealth, I should have made it as hard for you to leave me, as it is now for me to leave you. I am not talking to you now through the medium of custom, conventionalities, or even of mortal flesh:—it is my spirit that addresses your spirit; just as if both had passed through the grave, and we stood at God's feet equal,—as we are!" [chap. 23]

A Dialogue of Self and Soul: Jane Eyre

woman can admit impediment. alike. And to the marriage of such true minds, it seems, no man or world in which the prince and Cinderella are democratically equal, speeches is, significantly, not so much sexual as spiritual; the impro-Pamela is just as good as Mr. B., master and servant are profoundly political, for Charlotte Brontë appears here to have imagined a priety of its formulation is, as Mrs. Rigby saw, not moral but cause my equal is here, and my likeness." The energy informing both of their parity and similarity: "My bride is here," he admits, "bethat he has deceived her about Blanche, and an acknowledgment Rochester's response is another casting away of disguises, a confession



and Charlotte Bronte's -- view secrets of inequality. disguising throughout much of the book are themselves in Jane'san evasion of that equality in which he claims to believe. Beyond trickery is a source of power, and therefore, in Jane's case at least, charades he enacts? One answer is surely that he himself senses his this, however, it is clear that the secrets Rochester is concealing or people, especially women? What secrets are concealed behind the place. Why, Jane herself wonders, does Rochester have to trick of some importance that those disguises were necessary in the first cast away the disguises that gave him his mastery, it is obviously appears in both the gypsy sequence and the betrothal scene to have despite their avowals of equality. Though Rochester, for instance, impediment, paradoxically, pre-exists in both Rochester and Jane, But of course, as we know, there is an impediment, and that

7

proper recounting of his sexual adventures is a kind of acknowledgfrankness to Jane, it really should not. Rochester's apparently imthis point may seem to contradict the point made earlier about his knowledge which makes him in some sense her "superior." Though enced adult male), Rochester has specific and "guilty" sexual secret of masculine potency, the secret of male sexual guilt. For, like the mythic Bluebeard (indeed, in relation to Jane, like any experithose pre-Byron Byronic heroes the real Restoration Rochester and reference to the Bluebeard's corridor of the third story: it is the allusion to the dissolute Earl of Rochester, and by Jane's own The first of these is suggested both by his name, apparently an

> mysteries of the flesh. higher than hers, but because it is he who will initiate her into the recognize the hollowness of such a ruse. The prince is inevitably advantage his masculinity gives him (by putting on a woman's clothes he puts on a woman's weakness), both he and Jane obviously may be seen as a semi-conscious effort to reduce this sexual Cinderella's superior, Charlotte Brontë saw, not because his rank is his puzzling transvestism, his attempt to impersonate a female gypsy, sex, symbolized both by his doll-like daughter Adèle and by the like an animal—qualifies and undermines that equality. And though locked doors of the third story behind which mad Bertha crouches details of sexuality, however-his knowledge, that is, of the secret of ment of Jane's equality with him. His possession of the hidden

at finding emotional strength rather than expressions of weakness. with Jane, they are political rather than sexual statements, attempts sexual panic, it should be clear from their context that, as is usual critics merely the consequences of Jane's (and Charlotte Brontë's) enslaved" (chap. 24). While such assertions have seemed to some myself] to go out as a missionary to preach liberty to them that are "I'll not stand you an inch in the stead of a seraglio.... I'll [prepare like a doll by Mr. Rochester," she remarks, and, more significantly, this" (chap. 24). She, sensing his new sense of power, resolves to keep him "in reasonable check": "I never can bear being dressed hold, I'll just—figuratively speaking—attach you to a chain like presently: and when once I have fairly seized you, to have and to your time now, little tyrant," he declares, "but it will be mine plaything, a virginal possession—for she has now become his initiate, Jane's love, almost reflexively begins to treat her as an inferior, a his "mustard-seed," his "little sunny-faced ... girl-bride." "It is their relationship after their betrothal. Rochester, having secured to their equality is further indicated by the tensions that develop in conscious of the barrier which Rochester's sexual knowledge poses That both Jane and Rochester are in some part of themselves

inferiority rather than his superiority. Rochester, Jane learns, after of inequality: but this time the hidden facts suggest the master's marriage with Jane, is another and perhaps most surprising secret together with the existence of Bertha, the literal impediment to his Finally, Rochester's ultimate secret, the secret that is revealed

the aborted wedding ceremony, had married Bertha Mason for status, for sex, for money, for everything but love and equality. "Oh, I have no respect for myself when I think of that act!" he confesses. "An agony of inward contempt masters me. I never loved, I never esteemed, I did not even know her" (chap. 27). And his statement reminds us of Jane's earlier assertion of her own superiority: "I would scorn such a union [as the loveless one he hints he will enter into with Blanche]: therefore I am better than you" (chap. 23). In a sense, then, the most serious crime Rochester has to expiate is not even the crime of exploiting others but the sin of self-exploitation, the sin of Céline and Blanche, to which he, at least, had seemed completely immune.²⁰

--

signals an "involuntary" and subtly hostile thought "of Hercules and Samson with their charmers." And that hostility becomes overt submit"-implies a kind of Lawrentian sexual tension and only her peculiar, ironic smile, reminiscent of Bertha's mirthless laugh, makes things worse. For when he asks "Why do you smile [at this], "Jane: you please me, and you master me [because] you seem to of course, vigorously repudiates this prediction, but his argumentas the farthest to which a husband's ardor extends" (chap. 24). He, less. I have observed in books written by men, that period assigned now, [but] ... I suppose your love will effervesce in six months, or Jane? What does that inexplicable ... turn of countenance mean?" she says cynically to Rochester, "you will perhaps be as you are inequalities and minor despotisms of marriage. "For a little while," senses, even the equality of love between true minds leads to the sages—of patriarchy have had their effect upon her. Though she way, moreover, all the charades and masquerades—the secret messhe is to Rochester, she suspects him of harboring all the secrets we husband even before she learns about Bertha. In her world, she stantial impediments to his marriage with Jane does not mean, loves Rochester the man, Jane has doubts about Rochester the her "master" so as to keep him "in reasonable check." In a larger know he does harbor, and raises defenses against them, manipulating however, that Jane herself generates none. For one thing, "akin" as That Rochester's character and life pose in themselves such sub-

at the silk warehouse, where Jane notes that "the more he bought me, the more my cheek burned with a sense of annoyance and degradation.... I thought his smile was such as a sultan might, in a blissful and fond moment, bestow on a slave his gold and gems had enriched" (chap. 24).

shadow implicit even in the Father-hating gloom of hell. sinister image of Death. Indeed, this last, says Jane, quoting Paradise or Frankenstein's monster) in "electric travail" (chap. 13); and the a sort of avenging mother goddess rising (like Bertha Mason Rochester Lost, delineates "the shape which shape had none," the patriarchal third a terrible paternal specter carefully designed to recall Milton's tionship even while they seemed to be conventional Romantic fantasies. The first represented a drowned female corpse; the second functioned ambiguously, like Helen's, to predict strains in this relaas Helen Graham (in The Tenant of Wildfell Hall) was to hersat Thornfield—art works which brought her as close to her "master" even the dreamlike paintings that Jane produced early in her stay to many others" [chap. 15]) recalls Brocklehurst's hypocrisy. But knew that his great kindness to me was balanced by unjust severity and the erratic nature of Rochester's favors ("in my secret soul I Rochester's loving tyranny recalls John Reed's unloving despotism, angry in this way at Rochester's, and society's, concept of marriage. Jane's whole life-pilgrimage has, of course, prepared her to be

Given such shadowings and foreshadowings, then, it is no wonder that as Jane's anger and fear about her marriage intensify, she begins to be symbolically drawn back into her own past, and specifically to reexperience the dangerous sense of doubleness that had begun in the red-room. The first sign that this is happening is the powerfully depicted, recurrent dream of a child she begins to have as she drifts into a romance with her master. She tells us that she was awakened "from companionship with this baby-phantom" on the night Bertha attacked Richard Mason, and the next day she is literally called back into the past, back to Gateshead to see the dying Mrs. Reed, who reminds her again of what she once was and potentially still is: "Are you Jane Eyre?... I declare she talked to me once like something mad, or like a fiend" (chap. 21). Even more significantly, the phantom-child reappears in two dramatic dreams Jane has on the night before her wedding eve, during which she

experiences "a strange regretful consciousness of some barrier dividing" her from Rochester. In the first, "burdened" with the small wailing creature, she is "following the windings of an unknown road" in cold rainy weather, straining to catch up with her future husband but unable to reach him. In the second, she is walking among the ruins of Thornfield, still carrying "the unknown little child" and still following Rochester; as he disappears around "an look; the wall crumbled; I was shaken; the child rolled from my what reaches the coll, and woke" (chap. 25).

ness of a barrier" dividing her from Rochester is, thus, a keen though disguised intuition of a problem she herself will pose. silk dresses, jewelry, a new name. Jane's "strange regretful conscioussloughed off so easily--not, for instance, by glamorous lovemaking, orphaned alter ego everywhere. The burden of the past cannot be in a sense with the rest of the world)--she is doomed to carry her maturity, independence, true equality with Rochester (and therefore In other words, until she reaches the goal of her pilgrimagewere my arms, however much its weight impeded my progress." self presents, "I might not lay it down anywhere, however tired consciously Jane wishes to be rid of the heavy problem her orphan that part of her which resists a marriage of inequality. And though mountains are wild"-is still Jane's, or at least the complaint of are sore, and my limbs they are weary; / Long is the way, and the began in anger and despair. That child's complaint—"My feet they to the child Jane herself, the wailing Cinderella whose pilgrimage "the poor orphan child" of Bessie's song at Gateshead, and therefore that the wailing child who appears in all of them corresponds to call them-these "presentiments"? To begin with, it seems clear What are we to make of these strange dreams, or—as Jane would

Almost more interesting than the nature of the child image, however, is the *predictive* aspect of the last of the child dreams, the one about the ruin of Thornfield. As Jane correctly foresees, Thornfield will within a year become "a dreary ruin, the retreat of bats and owls." Have her own subtle and not-so-subtle hostilities to its master any connection with the catastrophe that is to befall the house? Is her clairvoyant dream in some sense a vision of wishfulfilment? And why, specifically, is she freed from the burden of

the wailing child at the moment she falls from Thornfield's ruined wall?

A Dialogue of Self and Soul: Jane Eyre

of the night to rend and trample the wedding veil of that unknown person, Jane Rochester. sterious specter, a sort of "vampyre," should appear in the middle the body of Jane-it is not surprising that another and most myfrom the adult Jane, and the image of Jane weirdly separating from Eyre splitting off from Jane Rochester, the child Jane splitting off darker in that visionary hollow" of the looking glass "than in reality." of the moment in the red-room when all had "seemed colder and In view of this frightening series of separations within the self-Jane seemed almost the image of a stranger" (chap. 26), reminding us and sees "a robed and veiled figure, so unlike my usual self that it appears on the morning of her wedding: she turns toward the mirror wraith-like apparel" (chap. 25 [ital. ours]). Again, a third symptom had already displaced [mine]: for not to me appertained that ... strange knew not," though "in yonder closet ... garments said to be hers about the nature of "one Jane Rochester, a person whom as yet I begins, anxiously, "there was no putting off the day that advanced -the bridal day" (chap. 25). It is her witty but nervous speculation red-room. Another symptom appears early in the chapter that a fragmentation of the self comparable to her "syncope" in the dissolution of personality Jane seems to be experiencing at this time, crucial weeks preceding her marriage is only one symptom of a follow upon the child dream. For the apparition of a child in these The answer to all these questions is closely related to events which

Literally, of course, the nighttime specter is none other than Bertha Mason Rochester. But on a figurative and psychological another—indeed the most threatening—avatar of Jane. What Bertha another—indeed the most threatening—avatar of Jane. What Bertha veil" of Jane Rochester, Jane Eyre secretly wants to tear the garments up. Bertha does it for her. Fearing the inexorable "bridal Resenting the new mastery of Rochester, whom she sees as "dread strength, so that she can battle him in the contest of their marriage. Bertha, "a big woman, in stature almost equalling her husband,"

has the necessary "virile force" (chap. 26). Bertha, in other words, is Jane's truest and darkest double: she is the angry aspect of the orphan child, the ferocious secret self Jane has been trying to repress ever since her days at Gateshead. For, as Claire Rosenfeld points out, "the novelist who consciously or unconsciously exploits psychological Doubles" frequently juxtaposes "two characters, the one representing the socially acceptable or conventional personality, the other externalizing the free, uninhibited, often criminal self." ²¹

right hand" (chap. 27) comes strangely true through the interven-Jane's desire as well as her own. And finally, Jane's disguised hostility own servitude, will be acted out by Bertha, who burns down the destroy Thornfield, the symbol of Rochester's mastery and of her both eye and hand. "you shall, yourself, pluck out your right eye; yourself cut off your to Rochester, summarized in her terrifying prediction to herself that house and destroys herself in the process as if she were an agent of gown, sheet, or shroud I cannot tell." Jane's profound desire to rebellion, and rage" on the battlements, for instance, were accomence (or repression) of anger on Jane's part. Jane's feelings of "hunger, accurately, her manifestations-has been associated with an experition of Bertha, whose melodramatic death causes Rochester to lose fied by the image of Bertha in a "white and straight" dress, "whether fears of her own alien "robed and veiled" bridal image, were objecti-Mason. Jane's anxieties about her marriage, and in particular her Bertha's terrible shriek and her even more terrible attack on Richard Rochester's manipulative gypsy-masquerade found expression in incinerate the master in his bed. Jane's unexpressed resentment at tarian sexual confidences was followed by Bertha's attempt to Jane's apparently secure response to Rochester's apparently egalipanied by Bertha's "low, slow ha! ha!" and "eccentric murmurs." field. Specifically, every one of Bertha's appearances—or, more tioned as Jane's dark double throughout the governess's stay at Thornit now begins to appear, if it did not earlier, that Bertha has funcically, an impediment raised by Jane as well as by Rochester. For Jane's and Rochester's marriage, and that its existence is, paradoximprisoned in Thornfield's attic is the ultimate legal impediment to It is only fitting, then, that the existence of this criminal self

ALC: N

70.

These parallels between Jane and Bertha may at first seem some-

what strained. Jane, after all, is poor, plain, little, pale, neat, and quiet, while Bertha is rich, large, florid, sensual, and extravagant; indeed, she was once even beautiful, somewhat, Rochester notes, "in the style of Blanche Ingram." Is she not, then, as many critics have suggested, a monitory image rather than a double for Jane? As Richard Chase puts it, "May not Bertha, Jane seems to ask herself, be a living example of what happens to the woman who [tries] to be the fleshly vessel of the [masculine] élan?" 22 "Just as [Jane's] instinct for self-preservation saves her from earlier temptations," Adrienne Rich remarks, "so it must save her from becoming this woman by curbing her imagination at the limits of what is bearable for a powerless woman in the England of the 1840s."23 Even Rochester himself provides a similar critical appraisal of the relationship between the two. "That is my wife," he says, pointing to mad Bertha,

"And this is what I wished to have ... this young girl who stands so grave and quiet at the mouth of hell, looking collectedly at the gambols of a demon. I wanted her just as a change after that fierce ragout... Compare these clear eyes with the red balls yonder—this face with that mask—this form with that bulk..." [chap. 26]

And of course, in one sense, the relationship between Jane and Bertha is a monitory one: while acting out Jane's secret fantasies, Bertha does (to say the least) provide the governess with an example of how not to act, teaching her a lesson more salutary than any Miss Temple ever taught.

Nevertheless, it is disturbingly clear from recurrent images in the novel that Bertha not only acts for Jane, she also acts like Jane. The imprisoned Bertha, running "backwards and forwards" on all fours in the attic, for instance, recalls not only Jane the governess, whose only relief from mental pain was to pace "backwards and forwards" in the third story, but also that "bad animal" who was ten-year-old Jane, imprisoned in the red-room, howling and mad. Bertha's "goblin appearance"—"half dream, half reality," says Rochester—recalls the lover's epithets for Jane: "malicious elf," "sprite," "changeling," as well as his playful accusation that she had magically downed his horse at their first meeting. Rochester's description

of being a monster ("Am I a monster?... is it impossible that Mr. of Bertha as a "monster" ("a fearful voyage I had with such a monster in the vessel" [chap. 27]) ironically echoes Jane's own fear recall Jane's early flaming rages, at Lowood and at Gateshead, as received by me when I was sane, and not mad—as I am now [chap. Jane's own estimate of her mental state ("I will hold to the principles Bertha's fiendish madness recalls Mrs. Reed's remark about Jane Rochester should have a sincere affection for me?" [chap. 24]). when Bertha puts on the wedding veil intended for the second Mrs. herself as an alien figure in the "visionary hollow" of the red-room therefore, that, as if to balance the child Jane's terrifying vision of matic of her mind in its rebellion against society. It is only fitting, well as that "ridge of lighted heath" which she herself saw as emble-27]"). And most dramatic of all, Bertha's incendiary tendencies oblong glass," sees them as if they were her own (chap. 25). "the reflection of the visage and features quite distinctly in the dark Rochester, and turns to the mirror. At that moment, Jane sees looking glass, the adult Jane first clearly perceives her terrible double ("she talked to me once like something mad or like a fiend") as well as

death of Bertha frees her from the furies that torment her and makes dance "like a Bomb, abroad," to quote Dickinson again, 25 has not been presses her rage behind a subdued facade, but her soul's impulse to character" [ital. ours]. Crowned with thorns, finding that she is, in arrival at Thornfield she only "appeared a disciplined and subdued falls from the ruined wall of Thornfield and is destroyed, the orphan possible a marriage of equality-makes possible, that is, wholeness exorcised and will not be exorcised until the literal and symbolic Emily Dickinson's words, "The Wife-without the Sign," 24 she re-Lowood, we must finally recognize, with Jane herself, that on her eye.... Whatever I do with its cage, I cannot get at it—the savage table . . . consider the resolute wild free thing looking out of [Jane's] of her past will be lifted-and she will wake. In the meantime, as child too, as her dream predicts, will roll from her knee-the burden within herself. At that point, significantly, when the Bertha in Jane beautiful creature" (chap. 27). Rochester says, "never was anything at once so frail and so indomi-For despite all the habits of harmony she gained in her years at

E THE



A Dialogue of Self and Soul: Jane Eyre

That the pilgrimage of this "savage, beautiful creature" must now necessarily lead her away from Thornfield is signalled, like many other events in the novel, by the rising of the moon, which accompanies a reminiscent dream of the red-room. Unjustly imprisoned now, as she was then, in one of the traps a patriarchal society provides for outcast Cinderellas, Jane realizes that this time she must escape through deliberation rather than through madness. The maternal moon, admonishing her ("My daughter, flee temptation!") appears to be "a white human form...inclining a glorious brow," a strengthening image, as Adrienne Rich suggests, of the Great Mother.26 Yet—"profoundly, imperiously, archetypal"27—this figure has its ambiguities, just as Jane's own personality does, for the last night on which Jane watched such a moon rise was the night Bertha attacked Richard Mason, and the juxtaposition of the two events on that occasion was almost shockingly suggestive:

[The moon's] glorious gaze roused me. Awaking in the dead of night, I opened my eyes on her disk.... It was beautiful, but too solemn: I half rose, and stretched my arm to draw the curtain.

Good God! What a cry! [chap. 20]

Now, as Jane herself recognizes, the moon has elicited from her an act as violent and self-assertive as Bertha's on that night. "What was I?" she thinks, as she steals away from Thornfield. "I had injured—wounded—left my master. I was hateful in my own eyes" (chap. 28). Yet, though her escape may seem as morally ambiguous as the moon's message, it is necessary for her own self-preservation. And soon, like Bertha, she is "crawling forwards on my hands and knees, and then again raised to my feet—as eager and determined as ever to reach the road."

Her wanderings on that road are a symbolic summary of those wanderings of the poor orphan child which constitute her entire life's pilgrimage. For, like Jane's dreams, Bessie's song was an uncannily accurate prediction of things to come. "Why did they send me so far and so lonely, / Up where the moors spread and grey

off the crown of thorns he offered and repudiated the unequal some readers have suggested. For having left Rochester, having torn she encounters Diana, Mary, and St. John Rivers, the "good" and law" is one toward which the whole novel seems to have tended. unequivocal conclusion that "I was right when I adhered to principle mountain nook in the healthy heart of England?" (chap. 31). Her to begin to discover her real place in the world. St. John helps her relatives who will help free her from her angry memories of that which is to represent the end of her march toward selfhood. Here "kind angels" finally do bring her to what is in a sense her true had: "Is it better, I ask, to be a slave in a fool's paradise at Marseilles find a job in a school, and once again she reviews the choices she has charade of marriage he proposed, Jane has now gained the strength her relatives is not, in psychological terms, the strained coincidence wicked stepfamily the Reeds. And that the Rivers prove to be literally home, the house significantly called Marsh End (or Moor House) Hetty, has an inner strength which her pilgrimage seeks to develop, status—of women in a patriarchal society. Yet because Jane, unlike essential homelessness—the nameless, placeless, and contingent in Adam Bede, her terrible journey across the moors suggests the poor orphan child." And like the starved wanderings of Hetty Sorel hard-hearted, and kind angels only/Watch'd o'er the steps of a even her self-respect in her search for a new home. For "men are rocks are piled?" Far and lonely indeed Jane wanders, starving freezing, stumbling, abandoning her few possessions, her name, and ... or to be a village schoolmistress, free and honest, in a breezy

T

William III

ŧ

The qualifying word seems is, however, a necessary one. For though in one sense Jane's discovery of her family at Marsh End does represent the end of her pilgrimage, her progress toward selfhood will not be complete until she learns that "principle and law" in the abstract do not always coincide with the deepest principles and laws of her own being. Her early sense that Miss Temple's teachings had merely been superimposed on her native vitality had already begun to suggest this to her. But it is through her encounter with St. John Rivers that she assimilates this lesson most thoroughly. As a number of critics have noticed, all three members of the Rivers family have resonant, almost allegorical names. The names of Jane's true "sisters," Diana and Mary, notes Adrienne Rich, recall the

Great Mother in her dual aspects of Diana the huntress and Mary the virgin mother;²⁸ in this way, as well as through their independent, learned, benevolent personalities, they suggest the ideal of female strength for which Jane has been searching. St. John, on the other hand, has an almost blatantly patriarchal name, one which recalls both the masculine abstraction of the gospel according to St. John ("in the beginning was the Word") and the disguised misogyny of St. John the Baptist, whose patristic and evangelical contempt for the female. Like Salome, whose rebellion against such misogyny Oscar Wilde was later also to associate with the rising moon of female power, Jane must symbolically, if not literally, behead the abstract principles of this man before she can finally achieve her true independence.

end, and St. John's way the way to His bosom? Bessie's song had predicted. Is not Marsh End, then, the promised promise and blessing, / Take to his bosom the poor orphaned child," for some such practical "exercise"? "Still will my Father with efforts as much as their brothers do" (chap. 12), did she not long ments at Thornfield she insisted that "women [need] a field for their not some such solution half in her mind? When, pacing the battlewhen, long ago at Lowood, she asked for "a new servitude" was master she served at Thornfield, and replace love with labor-for follows him, Jane realizes, she will substitute a divine Master for the today, for the night cometh wherein no man can work."29 If she sermon of self-actualization through work: "Work while it is called tices what he preaches. And what he preaches is the Carlylean but at least it shows that, unlike hypocritical Brocklehurst, he pracname—is disconcerting to the passionate and Byronic part of Jane, Rosamund Oliver-another character with a strikingly resonant spirituality. His self-abnegating rejection of the worldly beauty a path of thorns (with no concealed roses), and a marriage of a marriage of passion, St. John seems to propose a life of principle, a life of pleasure, a path of roses (albeit with concealed thorns), and Rochester, like his dissolute namesake, ended up appearing to offer "you are formed for labour, not for love," St. John tells her. Yet alternative to the way of life proposed by Rochester. For where At first, however, it seems that St. John is offering Jane a viable

Apollyon" (chap. 38), St. John is finally, as Brocklehurst was, a pillar of patriarchy, "a cold cumbrous column" (chap. 34). But spell," she realizes increasingly that to please him "I must disown way will tempt her, she must resist it. That, like Rochester, he is soul in the ultimate cell, the "iron shroud" of principle (chap. 34). John wants to imprison the "resolute wild free thing" that is her even Rochester had tried to make her the "slave of passion," St. Gateshead only to immure her in a dank valley of starvation, and where Brocklehurst had removed Jane from the imprisonment of Greatheart, who guards his pilgrim convoy from the onslaught of guishes him from Brocklehurst, despite his likeness to "the warrior has been directed. For despite the integrity of principle that distinlute exclusion from the life of wholeness toward which her pilgrimage proposed by Rochester, a marriage reflecting, once again, her abso-34), she will be entering into a union even more unequal than that influence efficiently in life, and retain absolutely till death" (chap. 34). In fact, as St. John's wife and "the sole helpmate [he] can restrained . . . forced to keep the fire of my nature continually low, half my nature." And "as his wife," she reflects, she would be "always it clearly will not. As she falls more deeply under St. John's "freezing sane version of Bertha, against the polar cold of a loveless world, ice may "suffice," for Jane, who has struggled all her life, like a her nature, her cousin represents the ice. And while for some women "akin" to her is clear. But where Rochester represents the fire of Helen Burns and Miss Temple is the first hint that, while St. John's ... though the imprisoned flame consumed vital after vital" (chap. Jane's early repudiation of the spiritual harmonies offered by

Though in many ways St. John's attempt to "imprison" Jane may seem the most irresistible of all, coming as it does at a time when she is congratulating herself on just that adherence to "principle and law" which he recommends, she escapes from his fetters more easily than she had escaped from either Brocklehurst or Rochester. Figuratively speaking, this is a measure of how far she has traveled in her pilgrimage toward maturity. Literally, however, her escape is facilitated by two events. First, having found what is, despite all its ambiguities, her true family, Jane has at last come into her

inheritance. Jane Eyre is now the heir of that uncle in Madeira whose first intervention in her life had been, appropriately, to define the legal impediment to her marriage with Rochester, now literally as well as figuratively an independent woman, free to go her own way and follow her own will. But her freedom is also signaled by a second event: the death of Bertha.

guesses, no impediment. and Rochester's true minds there is now, as Jane unconsciously just as if both had passed through the grave, and we stood at God's feet, equal-as we are!" (chap. 23). For to the marriage of Jane's been translated into reality: "my spirit ... addresses your spirit, a sign that Jane's metaphoric speech of the first betrothal scene has relationship for which both lovers had always longed is now possible, new humility. The plot device of the cry is merely a sign that the has been made possible by her new independence and Rochester's gone before. Her new and apparently telepathic communion with powers were in play and in force" (chap. 35). But her sudden force-Rochester, which many critics have seen as needlessly melodramatic, fulness, like her "presentiment" itself, is the climax of all that has broke from St. John.... It was my time to assume ascendancy. My Jane! Jane!" Her response is an immediate act of self-assertion. "J hears—she is receptive to—the bodiless cry of Rochester: "Jane! within her. And now, because such forces are operating, she at last remind her that powerful forces are still at work both without and moments in Jane's life, the room is filled with moonlight, as if to decision about his proposal of marriage. Believing that "I had now Heaven" to "Show me, show me the path." As always at major put love out of the question, and thought only of duty," she "entreats answer to a prayer for guidance. St. John is pressing her to reach a Her first "presentiment" of that event comes, dramatically, as an

Jane's return to Thornfield, her discovery of Bertha's death and of the ruin her dream had predicted, her reunion at Ferndean with the maimed and blinded Rochester, and their subsequent marriage form an essential epilogue to that pilgrimage toward selfhood which had in other ways concluded at Marsh End, with Jane's realization that she could not marry St. John. At that moment, "the wondrous

are now, in reality, equals, is the thesis of the Ferndean section. Jane's mad double from the flames devouring his house. That his been fettered by the injuries he received in attempting to rescue burden of Thornfield, though at the same time he appears to have own self, her own needs. Similarly, Rochester, "caged eagle" that "fetters" pose no impediment to a new marriage, that he and Jane he seems (chap. 37), has been freed from what was for him the And at that moment, again as in her dream, she had wakened to her of Bertha (which had already fallen in fact from the ruined wall of freed from the burden of her past, freed both from the raging specter sleep" (chap. 36). For at that moment she had been irrevocably shock of feeling had come like the earthquake which shook the (which had symbolically, as in her dream, rolled from her knee). Thornfield) and from the self-pitying specter of the orphan child the soul's cell, and loosed its bands—it had wakened it out of its foundations of Paul and Silas' prison; it had opened the doors of

Many critics, starting with Richard Chase, have seen Rochester's injuries as "a symbolic castration," a punishment for his early profligacy and a sign that Charlotte Brontë (as well as Jane herself), fearing male sexual power, can only imagine marriage as a union with a diminished Samson. "The tempo and energy of the universe can be quelled, we see, by a patient, practical woman," notes Chase ironically.30 And there is an element of truth in this idea. The angry Bertha in Jane had wanted to punish Rochester, to burn him in his bed, destroy his house, cut off his hand and pluck out his overmastering "full falcon eye." Smiling enigmatically, she had thought of "Hercules and Samson, with their charmers."

Billing

It had not been her goal, however, to quell "the tempo and energy of the universe," but simply to strengthen herself, to make herself an equal of the world Rochester represents. And surely another important symbolic point is implied by the lovers' reunion at Ferndean: when both were physically whole they could not, in a sense, see each other because of the social disguises—master/servant, prince/Cinderella—blinding them, but now that those disguises have been shed, now that they are equals, they can (though one is blind) see and speak even beyond the medium of the flesh. Apparently sightless, Rochester—in the tradition of blinded Gloucester—now sees more clearly than he did when as a "mole-eyed blockhead"

he married Bertha Mason (chap. 27). Apparently mutilated, he is paradoxically stronger than he was when he ruled Thornfield, for now, like Jane, he draws his powers from within himself, rather than from inequity, disguise, deception. Then, at Thornfield, he was "no better than the old lightning-struck chestnut tree in the orchard," whose ruin foreshadowed the catastrophe of his relationship with Jane. Now, as Jane tells him, he is "green and vigorous. Plants will grow about your roots whether you ask them or not" (chap. 37). And now, being equals, he and Jane can afford to depend upon each other with no fear of one exploiting the other.

Nevertheless, despite the optimistic portrait of an egalitarian relationship that Brontë seems to be drawing here, there is "a quiet autumnal quality" about the scenes at Ferndean, as Robert Bernard Martin points out. The house itself, set deep in a dark forest, is old and decaying: Rochester had not even thought it suitable for the loathsome Bertha, and its valley-of-the-shadow quality makes it seem rather like a Lowood, a school of life where Rochester must learn those lessons Jane herself absorbed so early. As a dramatic setting, moreover, Ferndean is notably stripped and asocial, so that the physical isolation of the lovers suggests their spiritual isolation in a world where such egalitarian marriages as theirs are rare, if not impossible. True minds, Charlotte Brontë seems to be saying, must withdraw into a remote forest, a wilderness even, in order to circumvent the strictures of a hierarchal society.

Does Brontë's rebellious feminism—that "irreligious" dissatisfaction with the social order noted by Miss Rigby and Jane Eyre's other Victorian critics—compromise itself in this withdrawal? Has Jane exorcised the rage of orphanhood only to retreat from the responsibilities her own principles implied? Tentative answers to these questions can be derived more easily from The Professor, Shirley, and Villette than from Jane Eyre, for the qualified and even (as in Villette) indecisive endings of Brontë's other novels suggest that she herself was unable clearly to envision viable solutions to the problem of patriarchal oppression. In all her books, writing (as we have seen) in a sort of trance, she was able to act out that passionate drive toward freedom which offended agents of the status quo, but in none was she able consciously to define the full meaning of achieved freedom—perhaps because no one of her contemporaries, not even

a Wollstonecraft or a Mill, could adequately describe a society so drastically altered that the matured Jane and Rochester could really live in it.

been, we now realize, the goal of Jane's pilgrimage.32 contract between bride and bridegroom [is] renewed," has all along the country of Beulah "upon the borders of heaven," where "the her best" (chap. 35). For not the Celestial City but a natural paradise, nature, unleashed from social restrictions, will do "no miracle—but restore the sight of one of Rochester's eyes. Here, in other words, (chap. 38), and here the healing powers of nature will eventually physically "bone of [each other's] bone, flesh of [each other's] flesh" a natural order of their own making, Jane and Rochester will become is green as Jane tells Rochester he will be, green and ferny and fertilized by soft rains. Here, isolated from society but flourishing in implies, is without artifice—"no flowers, no garden-beds"—but it now to be on the side of Jane and Rochester. Ferndean, as its name significant redefinitions of Bunyan. Nature in the largest sense seems in tenuous but suggestive imagery and in her last, perhaps most What Brontë could not logically define, however, she could embody

#10 T

4000

later: "'My husband"—women say—/Stroking the Melody—/Is outcome the bitter question Emily Dickinson was to ask fifteen years fication of an unregenerate and undisciplined spirit," answers by its plain Jane Eyre, whom Miss Rigby correctly saw as "the personi-Bunyan's vision.33 And the astounding progress toward equality of to make it an "irreligious" redefinition, almost a parody, of John rebellion, and rage" led her to write Jane Eyre in the first place and repudiate such a crucifying denial of the self that Brontë's "hunger, take up his cross and follow me", (chap. 38). For it was, finally, to says—'Whosoever will come after me, let him deny himself and of "the warrior Greatheart," St. John Rivers. "His," she tells us, apostrophe to that apostle of celestial transcendence, that shadow "is the exaction of the apostle, who speaks but for Christ when he used by patriarchal society to keep, say, governesses in their "place." ${\it Jane\ Eyre}$ with an allusion to ${\it Pilgrim's\ Progress}$ and with a half-ironic Because she believes this so deeply, she quite consciously concludes dream of those who accept inequities on earth, one of the many tools (though she will later have second thoughts) that such a goal is the As for the Celestial City itself, Charlotte Brontë implies here

this—the way?""³⁴ No, Jane declares in her flight from Thornfield, that is not the way. This, she says—this marriage of true minds at Ferndean—this is the way. Qualified and isolated as her way may be, it is at least an emblem of hope. Certainly Charlotte Brontë was never again to indulge in quite such an optimistic imagining.